## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

UPS SUPPLY CHAIN SOLUTIONS, INC.,

and Case 12-CA-144578

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL UNION NO. 769

## COUNSEL FOR THE GENERAL COUNSEL'S OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO STRIKE GENERAL COUNSEL'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S DECISION

On February 18, 2016, Respondent filed a motion to strike General Counsel's Exceptions to the decision of Administrative Law Judge Donna N. Dawson in the above-captioned case.

General Counsel respectfully submits that the motion is without merit.

General Counsel's 12 exceptions are specific, cite the applicable pages and lines of the ALJ's legal analysis, and pointedly dispute that analysis. Although the exceptions do not contain citations to transcript pages or exhibits, General Counsel's accompanying brief contains citations to the transcript and exhibits supporting the factual findings of the ALJ upon which General Counsel relies. In addition, General Counsel's four page exceptions and 25 page supporting brief were sufficiently specific for Respondent to file a 43-page answering brief. In its motion, Respondent cites no particular exception that is deficient or vague in any respect. Respondent was in no way prejudiced by General Counsel's failure to cite to transcript and exhibit pages in the exceptions document. *U.S. Postal Service*, 339 NLRB 400, fn. 1 (2003).

The cases cited by Respondent in its motion are inapposite. Board law establishes that General Counsel's exceptions and brief in support are in substantial compliance with the Board's Rules and Regulations, notwithstanding that the exceptions do not designate precise citations to

the portions of the record relied upon. *Niblock Excavating, Inc.*, 337 NLRB 53, fn. 1 (2001); *Embassy Suites Resort*, 309 NLRB 1313, fn. 1 (1992); see also *Easter Seals Connecticut, Inc.*, 345 NLRB 836, fn. 2 (2005); *William Services, Inc.*, 302 NLRB 492, fn. 1 (1991). Therefore, Respondent's motion should be denied.

Dated at Miami, Florida this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Marinelly Maldonado
Marinelly Maldonado
Counsel for the General Counsel
National Labor Relations Board
Miami Resident Office, Region 12
51 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave, Room 1320
Miami, FL 33130
marinelly.maldonado@nlrb.gov

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that Counsel for the General Counsel's Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Strike General Counsel's Exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Decision in the matter of UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc., Case 12-CA-144578, was electronically filed with the Executive Secretary of the National Labor Relations Board and served by electronic mail upon the below-listed parties on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March 2016, as follows:

Jonathan L. Sulds, Esq. Greenberg Traurig, LLP MetLife Building 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 suldsj@gtlaw.com

Angela Ramson, Esq. Greenberg Traurig, LLP Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 ramsona@gtlaw.com

Noah Scott Warman, Esq. Sugarman & Susskind, PA 100 Miracle Mile, Suite 300 Coral Gables, FL 33134 nwarman@sugarmansusskind.com

## /s/ Marinelly Maldonado

Marinelly Maldonado Counsel for the General Counsel National Labor Relations Board Miami Resident Office, Region 12 51 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave, Room 1320 Miami, FL 33130 marinelly.maldonado@nlrb.gov